ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

Vol. XI, 1942, p. 295, no. 58: A re-study of photograph and squeeze by Rolf Hubbe has led to improvement in the readings of several lines:

τοῦ δήμου τὰ ε[ἰσα]γώγεια καλῶς [ἔπραττεν ὄσα ἐτά] [χ]θη μετασχόντ[ω]ν καὶ Εὐμολπιδῶν [μετὰ πάσης παρα?]

- 15 [σκ]ευής καὶ φιλοτιμίας, ψήφισμά τε [εἰσήνεγκεν ὕ] [να] ἀναγρα[φῆι] ἡ εἰσαγωγὴ ἐν στήλ[ηι λιθίνηι ἐν] [τῶι Ἐ]λευσ[ιν]ίωι, ἐκλελειμμένων [δὲ πολλῶν θυσιῶν] [δι' ἐτ]ῶν [π]λειόνων διὰ τοὺς καιρ[οὺς ἐν ἑκάστωι] [τῶι ἐνια]υτῶι ἔθυσέν τε αὐτὸς [καὶ νῦν πρόσοδον]
- 20 [ποιησ]άμενος πρὸς τὴν βουλὴν [γνώμην ἐνεφάνισεν] [περὶ] αὐτῶν καὶ ψήφισμα ἐπεκήρ[υξεν ἵνα προσόδων] [πολ]λῶν γινομένων εἰς [τὰ ἱερὰ αἱ θυσίαι συντελῶνται] [τοῖ]ς θεοῖς κατὰ τὰ [πάτρια - - - - - - - - -]

In R.E.G., LVII, 1947, p. 191, J. and L. Robert commented on the restorations and suggested a text for lines 21-23. For reasons of space and syllabification it is impossible, however, to allow $[\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta]\delta\omega\nu$, or any part of it, to begin line 22. Nor do they explain how the words $\pi\alpha\rho$ ' $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\vartheta$ are to be fitted into line 19, if the restored $\nu\vartheta\nu$ is to be omitted, as they suggest. The restoration $[\pi\sigma\lambda]\lambda\omega\nu$ has been supplied in line 22 by Meritt, as suitable to the available space.

Vol. XVI, 1947, p. 163, no. 61: A re-examination of the squeeze by Rolf Hubbe has led to improvement in the text of lines 6-10:

εἶπεν· ἐπειδὴ [οἱ ἐπιμεληταὶ τῶν Μυστηρίων οἱ ἐπὶ ᾿Αλέ]
ξίδος ἄρχοντος [ἔθυσαν τὰς θυσίας τῶν Μεγάλων Μυστη]
ρίων καὶ τῶν πρὸ[ς ᾿Αγραν μυστηρίων ἐν τοῖς καθήκουσιν]
χρόνοις μεθ' ῶν πάτρ[ιον ἦν - - - - - - - - κα]
λῶς καὶ φιλοτίμως· ἔθυ[σαν δὲ καὶ τεῖ τε Δήμητρι καὶ τεῖ Κό]

See also Vol. XXVI, 1957, 39.

Vol. XXVIII, 1959, p. 322: Marcus Tod has kindly communicated to the editors his solution of the text $- - - \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \tau a_f \epsilon \theta \lambda \epsilon o \nu \nu i \kappa a$. The verb $\nu i \kappa a$ is imperative, addressed to an athlete whose name, in the vocative, ends in $- - - \epsilon$. The form $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a_f \epsilon \theta \lambda \epsilon o \nu$ is a present active participle, from $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a_f \epsilon \theta \lambda \epsilon \omega$, a variant of the well attested $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \theta \lambda \epsilon \omega$ (cf. Liddell and Scott, Lexicon, s.v.).

