ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

Vol. XI, 1942, p. 295, no. 58: A re-study of photograph and squeeze by Rolf Hubbe has led to improvement in the readings of several lines:

```
τοῦ δήμου τὰ ε[ἰσα]γώγεια καλῶς [ἔπραττεν ὅσα ἐτά]
[χ]θη μετασχόντ[ω]ν καὶ Εὐμολπιδῶν [μετὰ πάσης παρα?]
15 [σκ]ευῆς καὶ φιλοτιμίας, ψήφισμά τε [εἰσήνεγκεν τ]
[να] ἀναγρα[φῆι] ἡ εἰσαγωγὴ ἐν στήλ[ηι λιθίνηι ἐν]
[τῶι Ἐ]λευσ[ιν]ίωι, ἐκλελειμμένων [δὲ πολλῶν θυσιῶν]
[δὶ ἐτ]ῶν [π]λειόνων διὰ τοὺς καιρ[οὺς ἐν ἑκάστωι]
[τῶι ἐνια]υτῶι ἔθυσέν τε αὐτὸς [καὶ νῦν πρόσοδον]
20 [ποιησ]άμενος πρὸς τὴν βουλὴν [γνώμην ἐνεφάνισεν]
[περὶ] αὐτῶν καὶ ψήφισμα ἐπεκήρ[υξεν τνα προσόδων]
[πολ]λῶν γινομένων εἰς [τὰ ἱερὰ αἱ θυσίαι συντελῶνται]
[τοῖ]ς θεοῖς κατὰ τὰ [πάτρια — — — — — — — — — — —
```

In R.E.G., LVII, 1947, p. 191, J. and L. Robert commented on the restorations and suggested a text for lines 21-23. For reasons of space and syllabification it is impossible, however, to allow $[\pi\rho\sigma\sigma']\delta\omega\nu$, or any part of it, to begin line 22. Nor do they explain how the words $\pi\alpha\rho'$ $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$ are to be fitted into line 19, if the restored $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ is to be omitted, as they suggest. The restoration $[\pi\sigma\lambda]\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ has been supplied in line 22 by Meritt, as suitable to the available space.

Vol. XVI, 1947, p. 163, no. 61: A re-examination of the squeeze by Rolf Hubbe has led to improvement in the text of lines 6-10:

```
εἶπεν· ἐπειδὴ [οἱ ἐπιμεληταὶ τῶν Μυστηρίων οἱ ἐπὶ ᾿Αλέ] ξιδος ἄρχοντος [ἔθυσαν τὰς θυσίας τῶν Μεγάλων Μυστη] ρίων καὶ τῶν πρὸ[ς Ἦγραν μυστηρίων ἐν τοῖς καθήκουσιν] χρόνοις μεθ' ὧν πάτρ[ιον ἦν ————————— κα] λῶς καὶ φιλοτίμως· ἔθυ[σαν δὲ καὶ τεῖ τε Δήμητρι καὶ τεῖ Κό]
```

See also Vol. XXVI, 1957, 39.

Vol. XXVIII, 1959, p. 322: Marcus Tod has kindly communicated to the editors his solution of the text $--\epsilon$ $\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\rho\epsilon\theta\lambda$ $\epsilon\nu\nu$ $\epsilon\nu$. The verb ν $\epsilon\nu$ is imperative, addressed to an athlete whose name, in the vocative, ends in $---\epsilon$. The form $\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\rho\epsilon\theta\lambda$ $\epsilon\nu$ is a present active participle, from $\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\rho\epsilon\theta\lambda$ $\epsilon\nu$, a variant of the well attested $\epsilon\nu$ (cf. Liddell and Scott, $\epsilon\nu$).

- On p. 12, above, No. 15, line 4, for ὅπως οὖν ἄν read ὅπως ἂν οὖν.
- On p. 19, above, No. 24, line 7, for δ] $\iota \dot{\alpha}$ read $[\delta] \iota \dot{\alpha}$.
- On p. 21, above, No. 27, in the third line of commentary for $\beta \epsilon \nu \delta i \nu$ read $\beta \epsilon \nu \delta i \nu$. The accent in the *Corpus* is incorrect.

The following note on No. 37 above (p. 30), line 9, has been contributed by N. C. Conomis of the University of Cape Town, South Africa:

"It seems to me that Julius Polydeukes is the sophist from Naukratis, author of the well-known Onomasticon. It is known that he ingratiated himself with Commodus and that through his support he secured the chair (no doubt of Rhetoric) at Athens (Philostratos, Soph. Vitae, II, 12 [p. 593]: $---\eta$ καὶ βασιλέα Κόμμοδον θέλξας τὸν 'Αθήνησι θρόνον παρ' αὐτοῦ εὕρετο). He composed the Onomasticon for Commodus' enlightenment (Pollux, I, 1-2) while he was carrying on his duties as professor at Athens (ibid., viii praef.). And he began his professorship probably after 178 A. D. (see Erich Bethe in Pauly-Wissowa, R.E., s.v. Iulius Pollux [Vol. X, pp. 773-779, no. 398] and also the Oxford Classical Dictionary).

"Who his heirs were we do not know, but his wife and son were perhaps among them. The presence of his name on this document would alone suggest that he was a person of some means. The approximate date of the inscription should perhaps be taken as soon after 180 A. D., for Pollux died at the age of 58, probably not many years after his call to the chair."

On p. 33, above, the inventory number of No. 40 should be read as 3775, rather than 3375.

On p. 83, above, the inventory number of No. 158 should be read as 5210b, rather than 5201b.

On p. 123, above, in Nos. 1 and 2, the readings should be Τροχινίδαο and Τρο-χεινίδαο, respectively.

On p. 200, above, the word $\kappa a i$ in the restoration of line 26 should be deleted. D. M. Lewis, of Christ Church, has noted the undue length of the restoration in this line, and will discuss the text elsewhere.

On p. 202, above, in the second paragraph of note 6 read Quintilian's for Quintinian's and suasisse for suassisse. On p. 203, in note 10, read plerisque for pleris.

On p. 217, above, in the note on lines 31-32, the reference to the *Persae* should be 380-381 rather than 381-382.