AN EPIGRAPHICAL NOTE

The date suggested above (p. 209) for the epigraphical text No. 54 implies that the deme Kydathenaion (line 12) was divided after 307/6 between Antigonis and Pandionis. There is no evidence of which I know, unless it be this, that any part of the deme remained in Pandionis after the creation of the phyle Antigonis. It is probably best to follow the prosopographical and orthographic arguments, which favor an earlier date, and in spite of the form and arrangement of the text to date the inscription earlier than 307/6.
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