ADDENDUM TO HESPERIA, XXXVII, 1968, PP. 1-24

AFTER the publication of "The Bouleutic List of 303/2 B.C." (Hesperia, XXXVII, 1968, pp. 1-24) it was discovered that I.G., Π2, 2412 (E. M. 5255) also belongs to the same monument. It preserves part of the top, back, and right side, including traces of the crowning moulding now largely chipped away, and should be assigned to the upper right corner of the second stele. In addition to the similarity in type of marble and style of lettering, the association of the two inscriptions is based on the following identical physical measurements: thickness, height of moulding, taper in thickness from top to bottom, indentation of demotics, letter height, and spacing, both horizontal and vertical. At an interval of two centimeters under the preserved traces of the moulding on the new fragment appears the heading of Antiochis (the twelfth phyle in the Macedonian period) followed by the complete roster of Alopeke and part of the roster of Anaphlystos. The assignment of I.G., Π2, 2412 to the upper right corner of the second stele is thus confirmed (cf. Hesperia, op. cit., p. 7, fig. 1, Col. VI). The text of Kirchner, however, can be improved somewhat; in line 8 where he read the demotic of Atene (?) there are clear traces of a patronymic preserved on the stone. The removal of the demotic in this line allows Alopeke a representation of twelve bouleutai. This is our first sure evidence for the quota of this deme in the Macedonian period and suggests that I.G., Π2, 2407, in which D. M. Lewis has recently restored the demotic of Alopeke followed by twelve demesmen (B.S.A., L, 1955, pp. 13-15), should also be identified as a fragment of a bouleutic list and assigned to the same period, i.e. shortly after 307/6, a downdating which has found some support from a reexamination of the prosopography (D. M. Lewis, per litt.). Under the demotic of Anaphlystos on the new fragment there are traces of one patronymic (new reading) before the stone breaks off. The remaining ten bouleutai from Anaphlystos have been lost, as also the beginning of the roster of Pallene, but lines 212-214 of the text published in Hesperia (op. cit., p. 14) must now be assigned to the bottom of the roster of Pallene, the only Antiochid deme still unaccounted for on the monument.
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1 The moulding ran across the face of the fragment and around the side and onto the back. For the profile of the complete moulding, taken from fragment I 4720b which belongs to the left side of the same stele, cf. Hesperia, XXXV, 1966, p. 146, fig. 3.

2 Alopeke had ten representatives on the Council prior to 307/6, judging from the evidence of I.G., Π2, 1750.