THE LOST CLASSICAL PALAIMONION FOUND?

(Plate 18)

OSCAR BRONEER’S excavations between 1956 and 1958 at the Isthmian sanctuary revealed the location of the precinct of Palaimon directly to the southwest of the temenos of the Temple of Poseidon in the area of the starting lines of the Earlier Stadium (Pl. 18). The poorly preserved remains indicate that three sacrificial pits of different dates each surrounded by a peribolos wall are associated with the earliest phases of this precinct. A circular monopteros set within a more elaborate peribolos wall represents the final architectural phase. Broneer dates these remains between the early 1st century after Christ and the 2nd century or later.

Despite the apparent lack of archaeological evidence for an earlier pre-Roman cult, Broneer believes that “it would doubtless be wrong to infer from (this) . . . that no cult of Palaimon had existed at Isthmia prior to the Roman era.” This argument is based on the assumption that “the hero cult would not have originated at so late a date” and that “mythology ascribes the origin of the worship of Melikertes/Palaimon to Sisyphos, whose statue was later erected in the Palaimonion.” Broneer then postulates that “somewhere in the area of the Earlier Stadium there was probably an earlier cult place, consisting of perhaps only an altar or a minor monument marking the traditional burial place of the boy hero.” This “hypothetical but necessary” precinct would have survived either physically in some form or, if destroyed by Mummius in 146 B.C., in the memory of the Corinthians until the revival of the sanctuary and the cult of Palaimon at the end of the 1st century B.C.

---


Earlier drafts of this article have benefited greatly from the comments and criticisms of N. D. Robertson, A. D. Booth, B. S. Ridgway and O. Broneer, The conclusions and any oversights that remain are the sole responsibility of the author. A version of this article was read as a paper at the 78th General Meeting of the Archaeological Institute of America in New York City, December, 1976.
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8 *Isthmia* II, p. 100. It should be noted that Pausanias (II.2.2) states that the Sikyonians were given control of the Isthmian Games after 146 B.C. and supervised them until Corinth was refounded as a Roman colony. If the sanctuary was used during this period for the celebration of the games then one would assume that a certain degree of continuity of cult and tradition would have resulted.
The antiquity of the cult has been challenged by John Hawthorne. His arguments are based on a number of points. First, he attempts to show that the majority of the various versions of the Melikertes/Palaimon myth are of late date. Second, he argues that while "it may be justifiable to assume that the localization of the sea-plunge [of Leukothea and her son Palaimon] near the Isthmus of Corinth antedated Ovid, but the literary evidence up to and including him indicates no other connection between the central figures of the myth and the Isthmus... there has been no mention of any home or cult of [Palaimon] on the Isthmus." And finally, Hawthorne finds the lack of archaeological evidence for a pre-Augustan cult of Palaimon a serious shortcoming in Broneer's argument. He concludes, therefore, that the Augustan revival of Corinth and the Isthmian sanctuary would have been the most likely period for the establishment of the cult of Palaimon.

Palaimon was one of a variety of Greek deities thought to provide calm waters and safety from offshore tempests. According to Hawthorne Palaimon rose from the obscure ranks of these minor deities in the Augustan era through identification with the Roman Portunus. Portunus, the old prophylactic deity of doors, who became a god of harbors, achieved prominence under Augustus, for with his help Augustus had guided the Roman ship of state through the tempestuous seas of civil war to safety in the harbor of peace. Palaimon/Portunus is a symbol of the Augustan restoration. Indeed Hawthorne suggests that Ovid is the first author to localize the sea-plunge of Melikertes/Palaimon off the coast of the Isthmus and it is only in the 1st century after Christ that Melikertes/Palaimon/Portunus becomes associated with the Sanctuary of Poseidon and the Isthmian Games.

On account of the paucity of remains, however, for the period lasting from 146 B.C. to the beginning of the 1st century after Christ, Broneer speculates that the games might have been held in Sikyon. See Isthmia II, p. 4.
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16 Horace, Carmina 1.14.
17 Hawthorne, op. cit. (footnote 9 above), p. 98.
18 Fasti, 6.473-562; and Metamorphoses, 4.416-562.
Hawthorne's views run counter to general belief. Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, 19 Nilsson, 20 and Burkert 21 agree that the foundation of the cult of Palaimon on the Isthmus must date to the Greek period since the literary evidence 22 conclusively connects the Melikertes/Palaimon myth to the Isthmus by at least the end of the 6th, if not the end of the 7th century B.C. 23 This would be roughly contemporary with the official founding of the Isthmian Games ca. 580 B.C. 24 In addition, it is even possible that the Corinthian epic poet Eumelos 25 in the latter part of the 8th century B.C. mentioned the myth in his works as well. The earliest remains found in the Sanctuary of Poseidon date to this period. 26

One can conclude therefore, in agreement with Broneer, that the cult of Palaimon existed prior to the Augustan period. One might expect then to find some remains of this earlier temenos in the vicinity of the Palaimonion of the Roman era. A re-examination of the architectural remains found in this area is in order to determine if this is the case. Broneer indicates that the three foundations, M 14, M 15, and M 16 are from the pre-Roman era. 27 These foundations are along the southwest side of the Earlier Stadium immediately adjacent to the Later Starting Line and close to the Temple of Palaimon. Foundation M 14 is a large block approximately one meter square and 0.40 m. high. 28 It was found in situ with its northeast face parallel to the water channel of the racecourse. The partially preserved H-shaped foundation M 15 lies approximately one meter to the southeast of this block and it is also parallel to the water channel. 29 Its restored length is estimated to be ca. 8.0 m.; its width 1.305 m. At each end of the foundation there was a wing 1.60 m. wide which projected 1.065 m. toward the northeast. Foundation M 16 lies 4.35 m. to the southeast of M 15. While only about three meters of this foundation have been exposed because the remainder of it lies beneath the modern road, it appears to have had a rectangular plan. 30

No specific date is given for these foundations but construction of the Earlier Stadium at ca. 470/460 B.C. 31 might provide the terminus post quem if they can be

22 Pindar, Frs. 5 and 6, see C. M. Bowra, Pindari Carmina, 2nd ed., Oxford 1947; Aristotle, Fr. 637 = Schol. in Aristidis Panather.; and Musaios of Ephesos, FGrHist 455 F 1 = Schol. Apoll. Rhod. 3, 1240. For further references see Burkert, op. cit., p. 219, note 3.
25 Pausanias, II.2.2 - FGrHist 451, note 4. For further references see Burkert, loc. cit. (footnote 21 above).
27 Isthmia II, p. 55.
28 Plate 18; Isthmia II, plans IV, VII, pl. 22: c.
29 Plate 18; Isthmia II, plans IV, VII, pl. 25: e.
30 Plate 18; Isthmia II, p. 55 and note 63; plans IV, VII; pl. 25: e, upper middle.
31 Isthmia II, p. 65.
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interpreted as contemporary. On the other hand, the construction of these foundations may have coincided with that of the Later Starting Line which is thought to be part of the reconstruction program in the sanctuary after the fire of ca. 400/390 B.C. If these foundations are older, their presence may have helped to determine the location of this new starting line which shortens the earlier racecourse by 10.93 m. The construction of the Later Stadium in the latter portion of the 4th century to replace the Earlier Stadium does give the terminus ante quem.

Broneer does not connect these three foundations with the cult of Palaimon. Rather he suggests that block M may have been the foundation for a single seat of some official or for an altar,” that foundation M “may have held the seats of the judges or a proedria for honored guests,” and makes the same suggestion for foundation M. The preserved remains in other sanctuaries, however, do not support all of Broneer’s interpretations of the monuments which once rested on these foundations.

Because block M’s form is non-diagnostical it is difficult to ascertain its original purpose. Broneer proposes that a small monolithic altar or a stone throne might have rested on a base or plinth which sat on this foundation. The block is not large enough nor does it have the proper dimensions to restore on it a built altar of the type found in the stadium at Olympia, i.e. the so-called Altar of Demeter Chamype restored in the 2nd century after Christ, as Broneer has suggested. The most likely form in the Corinthia for such a hypothetical altar in the Classical and Hellenistic periods would have been a monolithic cylinder which rested on a plinth. No such remains, however, were found during the excavations. Stone thrones with solid sides which were most typically used for seats of honor in theaters and stadia are not common until the early Hellenistic period. No remains of such a stone throne were found in the excavations.

The position of foundation M might lead one to believe that it supported judges’ thrones or seats for honored guests, but a number of points weigh against this hypothesis. The remains of the Hellanodikeion or judges’ stand in the late Classical Stadium III at Olympia consist of a rectangular foundation which would have supported twelve thrones. In the late 4th-century (ca. 330/300 B.C.) stadium in the
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36 Altars of this type which date to the Classical period have been found at Corinth and Nemea. See David W. Rupp, Greek Altars of the Northeastern Peloponnese, c. 750/725 B.C. to c. 300/275 B.C., (diss. Bryn Mawr College, 1974), pp. 66-70, 318-321, figs. 229-232 and 235-236 (University Microfilms, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan: Order no. 75-13,940).
38 Drees, op. cit. (footnote 35 above), pp. 93 and 95, fig. 21; Mallwitz, op. cit. (footnote 35 above), p. 181, fig. 143; and Emil Kunze and H. Schleif, “Berichte über die Ausgrabungen in Olympia (III), Winter 1938/39” (JdI 56, 1941), pp. 12-17, pls. 2-4.
Asklepieion at Epidauros there is a narrow rectangular platform on the southern side of the stadium.39 This platform is thought to have served for a judges' stand, for a few stone seats for officials, or for tables for the victors' prizes. At Nemea there is a narrow rectangular foundation on the side of the late Classical (ca. 325/300 B.C.) stadium which the excavators think was used as a judges' stand.40 In addition, on the west side of the track directly opposite the eastern foundations there are the remains of a similar foundation. This foundation has been postulated as for an auxiliary judges stand.41 In all of these examples the foundations have a rectangular plan and are located at some distance from the starting lines. Thus the plan of M18 with its projecting wings and its location in close proximity to the Earlier Starting Line and immediately adjacent to the Later Starting Line does not fit the pattern for a judges' stand or a proedri. The position of M18, however, and what is known of its plan do appear to fit this pattern.

Thus it can be seen that Broneer's hypotheses regarding the functions of the missing monuments are not entirely supported by the available evidence. At this time I would like to suggest alternative hypotheses for the functions of two of the three foundations, which, in my opinion, are more plausible in light of the evidence at Isthmia and from other sanctuaries.

First, the size and dimensions of block M14 are quite suitable for a foundation for the base and plinth of a stone or bronze statue.42 No such statue or its plinth were found in the excavations which date to the Classical period, but there is an interesting statue from the Archaic period. During the excavation of the fill of the Large Circular Pit ca. 60 m. to the west of the Roman Palaimonion a fragmentary poros kouros was found.43 The style of the kouros suggests a date in the mid-6th century B.C. or slightly later.44 B. S. Ridgway thinks that the kouro type in Attica and possibly the Peloponesos as well is closely associated with the cult of Apollo, in particular in a funerary aspect.45 The kouro type could represent deceased mortals as heroized and thus be

41 Miller, Hesperia 47, 1978, p. 86, fig. 7.
44 Ridgway, op. cit., p. 427.
an appropriate commemoration of the dead.\textsuperscript{46} She postulates that this particular kouros might have been set up in honor of Palaimonion, the child-hero.\textsuperscript{47}

The \textit{II} shaped plan of foundation M\textsuperscript{15} is very curious and difficult to interpret. Although \textit{II} shaped pedestals for statue groups do occur,\textsuperscript{48} a more common monument type with a similar plan is found in sanctuaries throughout the Greek world. These monuments are well documented as altars. The plan of the recently discovered altar of Zeus Eleutherios and Omonoia at Plataia\textsuperscript{49} closely resembles that of foundation M\textsuperscript{15}. This 5th-century B.C. altar has two wings or antae projecting from each end of the main face. While it is larger than M\textsuperscript{15} and has evidence for a central platform or \textit{prothysis} in front of the altar between the wings for the celebrants to stand on, the elongated proportions are similar to those of the Isthmian foundation. In addition, there are a number of built altars that have squat rectangular foundations upon which are set \textit{II} shaped superstructures. Included in this category of “Ionian-style” altars are the Altar of the Chians at Delphi, dating to the first quarter of the 5th century;\textsuperscript{50} an altar in the Poseideion on Thasos and an altar of Hera Opilimenia outside the entrance;\textsuperscript{51} an altar in front of the choregic monument in the Dionysion also on Thasos;\textsuperscript{52} the altar in front of the “tempietto” to the east of Temple D on the acropolis of Selinus;\textsuperscript{53} another altar to the northeast of Temple D at Selinus;\textsuperscript{54} and finally, the altar of the temple of Dionysos at Eretria.\textsuperscript{55}

Such an interpretation of this foundation can be supported by the fact that in a number of instances altars are found in close proximity to the starting lines of race-courses. First, the starting line for the Panathenaic races in the Athenian Agora during the Classical period is situated immediately to the northeast of the Altar of the Twelve Gods.\textsuperscript{56} Second, the monumental altar in the extramural Sanctuary of
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\textsuperscript{56} T. Leslie Shear, Jr., “The Athenian Agora: Excavations of 1973-74,” \textit{Hesperia} 44, 1975,
Apollo Pythios at Halieis (Porto Cheli) is located to the north of the starting line of the sanctuary’s stadium.\(^5\) Third, northwest of the starting line of the racecourse in the forum area at Corinth in the Sacred Spring Temenos, there was a low crescent-shaped mudbrick altar in Phase 3 (ca. 450-375/350 B.C.)\(^6\) and two rectangular cuttings and one partial rectangular foundation which might have been for built altars in Phase 5 (ca. 325-275 B.C.).\(^7\) The mud altar may have been used in the Classical period in connection with torch races held on the racecourse in honor of Artemis.\(^8\) And finally, the starting line of the Archaic stadium (Stadium I) at Olympia is thought to have been situated just to the east of the presumed location of the Altar of Zeus.\(^9\)

Foundation M\(^{10}\) fits the criteria for a judges’ stand or a proedria very well. Another possibility is that it might have served as the foundation for the base of the original version of the statue group depicting Palaimon on the back of a dolphin.\(^11\)

This re-examination of the architectural remains in the area of the Roman Palaimonion has produced new interpretations of the functions of M\(^{12}\) and M\(^{13}\) and has supported Bronier’s original hypothesis for M\(^{14}\). It seems possible therefore that the area around foundations M\(^{15}\) and M\(^{16}\) was considered to be the traditional burial place and heroion of the Bronze Age child-hero Melikertes/Palaimon. These foundations would represent monuments set up to mark his temenos where tradition has it he was first honored with funeral games by Sisyphos.\(^17\) Foundation M\(^{18}\) would have

---


\(^{9}\) Drees, op. cit. (footnote 35 above), pp. 88-90.


\(^{11}\) Following Pindar, Frs. 5 and 6, Clement of Alexandria, Philostoros (Imagines 2.16), and others assign the establishment of the Isthmian Games to Sisyphos, the traditional founder of the Isthmian town of Ephyra, which is sometimes identified with Corinth. He did this to honor his dead nephew Melikertes whose body he found on the seacoast of his territory. The funeral games were held at the place on the Isthmus where Sisyphos buried Melikertes, i.e. the sanctuary of Poseidon. See Edward E. Barrell, Jr., Gods and Goddesses of Ancient Greece, Coral Gables 1971, pp. 70, 78 and note 51. Another tradition claims that the games were first instituted in the name
been for an altar dedicated to Melikertes/Palaimon. A statue would have stood on foundation M possibly set up as Palaimon’s cult image, or one in honor of Poseidon or Leukothea or perhaps even Sisyphos, as is attested in the Roman Palaimonion. In short, these monuments constitute candidates for the missing Classical Palaimonion which Broneer has postulated.

The non-canonical orientation of foundation M (it faces to the southwest) should pose no problem for this interpretation as there are numerous examples of altars which do not face east, and of religious buildings and altars dedicated to heroes with chthonic rites which face either west or south. The close proximity of the water channel of the racecourse to foundation M would not impede the use of this monument as an altar since there is sufficient room for the priest(s) to make sacrifices between the channel and the east face of the main section of the monument (approximately two meters) and to move around the east faces of the wings (approximately one meter). The observers of the ceremonies could have stood on the racecourse or on the slope of the stadium’s southwestern side.

This proposed small pre-Roman temenos dedicated to Melikertes/Palaimon, which is located to the southeast of the Temple of Poseidion, dates at the latest to the period of remodeling in the sanctuary after the fire of ca. 400/390 B.C. About this time the Later Starting Line was constructed in the Earlier Stadium. I believe that the new position chosen for it was more likely to have been influenced by the position of the monuments marking Palaimon’s temenos than vice versa. Although, as was stated above, no specific archaeological evidence has been published to date these foundations very closely, I think it probable that they should be dated to the earliest phase of the Earlier Stadium, that is the second quarter of the 5th century B.C. Thus Palaimon’s cult may have had a minor role in the Isthmian sanctuary in the Classical period. Its location was not architecturally emphasized or monumentalized as was that of the nearby temenos of Poseidon. No earlier architectural evidence survives in the general area of the Palaimonion which may be tentatively
assigned to an earlier, Archaic, temenos. The fragmentary poros kouros found in the sanctuary and a fragment of a jumping weight with a partially preserved inscription dating to ca. 600/550 B.C., which is restored as referring to Melikertes,68 may well be our only possible physical evidence for such a temenos.

Towards the end of the 4th century the southeastern half of the Earlier Stadium was destroyed in order to provide fill for the embankments of the Later Stadium.69 The surviving northwestern half of the stadium filled up with sand and mud after Mummius' depredations. The entire area of the Sanctuary of Poseidon suffered from neglect until Corinth was re-established as a colony. In the Augustan period Corinth and the Sanctuary of Poseidon benefited from imperial interest and patronage. Both were revived and embellished and the sanctuary became an imperial cult center. The Isthmian Games were resumed under Corinthian control and a new set of games established, the Quinquennial Imperial Caesarea. This imperial attention continued under Claudius with his establishment of yet another set of games.70 Nero is also well remembered for the events which occurred at the time of his visit to the games.

When the Romans elevated Palaimon to importance in the Augustan period, the logical place to center his worship would have been his traditional cultic focus, i.e., the area around foundations M14 and M15. The archaeological evidence from the general area of these foundations indicates that between the first half of the 1st century after Christ and the later part of the 2nd century a monumental temenos was constructed which was dedicated to Palaimon. It was at this time that Palaimon and his temenos became known throughout the Empire.

DAVID W. RUPP

Brock University
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