A Roman Table Support at Ancient Corinth

ABSTRACT

A Roman sculptured table support, discovered in Frankish destruction levels at ancient Corinth, represents a rare iconographical treatment of a popular theme, Hermes carrying the baby Dionysos. Dating to the second half of the 2nd century a.C., the trapezophoros is adorned with a muscular, torsional Hermes supporting Dionysos perched on his outstretched left arm. The closest parallels for this composition are the large three-dimensional groups of satyrs and babies produced at Aphrodisias. The Hermes and Dionysos group may have reminded its Frankish discoverers of St. Christopher, patron of travelers and transporter of the infant Christ.

Recent excavations at Corinth in the area southeast of Temple E have revealed a Byzantine complex with enclosed court (Unit 1), and a funerary chapel in Unit 2. An unusual Roman sculpture of Hermes holding the baby Dionysos (Fig. 1), discovered in Unit 2 during the 1993 season, represents a hitherto unknown iconographical treatment of this popular and enduring theme, and may also illustrate how this motif, and the work itself, took on new meaning in Frankish Greece. The sculpture was discovered during the removal of destruction debris that ran east to west in the tile-paved Room C of Unit 2, which included a series of rooms surrounding the church at the northern end of the Byzantine monastery altered in the Frankish period (Fig. 2a–b). According to C. K. Williams II, Unit 2

1. S-1993-2. I thank C. K. Williams II for his generous permission to study and publish this sculpture, and for reading drafts of the paper. N. Bookidis helped in many ways, discussing the sculpture with me and reading the manuscript. In addition to the original photographs she prepared, Dr. Bookidis supplied additional images on short notice; I owe her a special debt of thanks. Thanks also to Luke Walker, Graphic Design student at the University of Mississippi, who produced Figure 7.

B. S. Ridgway also read a draft of this essay, making valuable suggestions. The anonymous referees made many helpful recommendations and corrections. Remaining mistakes are my own responsibility.

Figure 1. Corinth S-1993-2, Hermes and Dionysos table support
was probably constructed in the first third of the 12th century. Williams first coupled this destruction stratum in Room C with the Catalan invasion of 1312, but more recently has connected it with the earthquake of ca. 1300. Room C was repaired early in the 13th century, and went out of use shortly after. During this brief last phase, the north wall of Room C had a door at its center that opened into a courtyard at its north side.

4. Williams et al. 1998, p. 239.
The excavator hypothesizes that the fragment was immured in an external wall of Room C until it collapsed into a stratum of architectural debris.5

Sculpted of fine-grained white marble, the male, nude except for a chlamys fastened over his right shoulder, supports a baby on his left arm. The fragment has a preserved height of 0.53 m. The height of the adult's head is 0.13 m. Multiplying this dimension by 7, a standard ratio of head to body height, we can hypothesize that he stood ca. ninety centimeters tall. Some patches of the highly polished surface are preserved on the left side of the neck and shoulder and in the valleys between folds on the chlamys. The right side of the sculpture is considerably weathered, suggesting that it may have been exposed for some time to the elements. Its surface considerably damaged, his short-brimmed, close-fitting hat, preserving stumps of wings on both sides of the crown, identifies this personage as the god Hermes.6

His hair, where it emerges beneath the hat, was arranged in short curls, executed with a profusion of drill holes, their finished surfaces now largely broken away. Locks of hair frame his face. These strands can be seen most clearly on the left side of the head where it was protected by the baby’s body. Much of Hermes’ face from the nose down is broken away. His brow, however, marked with a deep furrow, survives, along with the left eye and the inner half of the right eye. A deep drill line defines the contour of the upper right lid and most of the deeply set left eye. The tear duct is carefully articulated. Pupils and irises are not incised; these details may have been added in paint. The appearance, in photographs, of deliberately cut detail in the center of the left eye is the result of damage.

Hermes now lacks his raised right arm from the shoulder, the left from mid-upper arm, and both legs from mid-thigh. The infant is missing his head and neck, and a portion of his torso on the left side, including the arm, part of the left leg to the thigh, and most of the right leg to mid-thigh. Hermes raised his right arm clear of his head, perhaps above it, holding an attribute toward which the infant reached. The god held his bent left arm away from his body. He may have grasped the baby’s left leg with his left hand or raised his left forearm toward his charge; there are parallels for both poses, as we shall see. Or he may have brandished a caduceus.

The baby sits on Hermes’ left shoulder, close to the god’s head, dangling both legs down over Hermes’ chest: scars remain on the chlamys where they rested. The child stretches to the right, resting his right hand on the front of Hermes’ head. The god, turning to his own left side, looks up at him in a close, intimate pose.

Hermes, given an athlete’s body, active and torsional, twists his lower body to his right side. He probably stepped forward on his left leg. His neck and torso are strikingly muscular. The neck preserves the remains of a bulging tendon on the left side, along with horizontal ridges of flesh that intensify the dramatic upturned position of the head. The muscularity of the epigastric region is apparent, despite the broken and abraded surface. Some details of digitation survive, especially on the left side of the torso. The iliac crests are prominently expressed.

This sculpture of Hermes as a kourotrophos, probably shouldering the infant Dionysos, was not freestanding but adorned a support. Part of a

5. C. K. Williams II (pers. comm.).
pillar, measuring about ten centimeters on each side, carved in one piece with the figure, survives on the back. The sides of the pier were worked with a rasp, and the back was finished with the claw chisel. Now broken off at top and bottom, the support extended above and below the figure, which was carved completely free of this pillar from the middle of the shoulder blades and downward. The plastically rendered chlamys, its edges and bottom now broken away in back, was carved in one piece with the support, but billowed out on both sides, providing a dramatic background for the figure. This garment fell over the back of Hermes’ left shoulder, where drapery folded over on itself; it was carved more carefully here than on the right side, but the figure was probably meant to be viewed from the front.

While his eyes are not drilled, Hermes’ common snail curl coiffure is characterized by deep drill holes. The use of the drill to articulate short, tightly curled locks is attested by the second quarter of the 5th century B.C., so this feature is not diagnostic for the dating of this sculpture. In Roman Imperial portraits of women, the combination of drilled hair and blank eyes is found in Augustan through Trajanic works. It may be risky, however, to use large Imperial portraits as comparanda for small mythological compositions, especially in the case of this piece, unusual in form and function. At Corinth, two nonjoining fragments from the head of a large, freestanding Roman Hermes with the short curly hairstyle have been given an Antonine date. These pieces preserve not only drill holes, but also comma-like drilled grooves defining individual locks of hair. The coloristic quality of the hair, the defined tearduct, active pose, dramatic musculature, and polished surface may place the Hermes and Dionysos group in the Hadrianic period, and perhaps even later in the second half of the 2nd century.

The pillar served a structural function for the sculpture itself, stabilizing the load supported on Hermes’ neck. Square trapezophoros supports with roughly consistent dimensions from top to bottom are less common than struts which taper toward the top. According to Stephanidou-Tiberiou, the thicker, square pillar was more stable. Parallels for the square support can be found among Attic figural trapezophora, including two at Corinth. The upper torso of a satyr (Fig. 3) excavated at the site preserves

---

7. Figure M, Temple of Zeus at Olympia, 470–456 B.C. (Ashmole and Yalouris 1967, figs. 33–36).
9. These table supports were being produced in Attic workshops by the middle of the 1st century A.C. and through the third quarter of the 3rd century. Also on trapezophora see Moss 1988 and Cohon 1984.
part of a similar square strut with the same measurement of 0.10 m on a side. The figure, however, has a preserved height from neck to waist of around thirteen centimeters, versus ca. twenty centimeters for the Hermes.

Another fragment of a possible support at Corinth (Fig. 4) preserves most of the head of a bearded male, probably Herakles, with short, drilled curls. His head, like that of Hermes, measures 0.13 m and was carved in a single piece with the top of a substantial strut, similarly proportioned, whose capital bears a round cutting for the tenon of the table leaf, or whatever element it supported. This work corresponds in the dimensions of both figure and support to the Hermes and Dionysos group, but the statue, a herm, is centered frontally on the pillar, and probably adhered to it from the head down. In Athens at the National Museum, a frontal Pan wrapped in himation decorates a similar squared shaft, measuring 0.10 m per side (Fig. 5).

Figures partially or wholly released from their pillars are uncommon. Moss compiled a small group that includes a satyr, a young male resting against a herm, a female of unknown identity, and images of Erotes carrying birds. Other types can be added to this assemblage. Figures of Dionysos alone or with satyrs and Pans customarily are carved nearly in the round. Some “Hanging Marsyas” figures adorning table supports were carved almost free of the tree trunk support that was also an essential narrative ingredient of the scene. It may be that the larger, freestanding prototypes for these figural supports encouraged a three-dimensional quality in their presentation. More complex group compositions include struts supporting individual sculptures in which the pillar itself more clearly functions as the support for the table leaf. One parallel for the nearly three-

Figure 4 (left). Corinth S-2329, Herakles table support

Figure 5 (center, right). Athens, National Museum NM 251, Pan table support. Photograph by the author

12. Another fragmentary trapezophoros in Athens has a comparable support, but the figure, a Ganymede, is smaller (NM 5730; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, p. 281, no. 132).
After the Hermes, the Figure p. 131. Ganymede (Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1997, pl. 58).

Figure 7 (right). Reconstruction of Corinth S-1993-2, Hermes and Dionysos table support

17. Vatican 2445: Ridgway 1997, p. 247, pl. 58; Moss 1988, p. 390, no. A5. I thank B. Ridgway for pointing out this parallel. In addition to the Vatican example, a more compressed Attic version also presents the youth in a pose similar to that of Hermes, looking up at the eagle (Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 136). More commonly on trapezophora, Ganymede leans languidly against the support with head lowered (Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, pp. 125–131).

18. Trapezophora may measure as high as 1.275 m or even taller (Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, p. 42, no. 100).

dimensional Corinth Hermes is the torsional figure of Ganymede on an Antonine trapezophoros in Rome. The youth, carved virtually free of the strut, reaches up toward Zeus, who is in the guise of an eagle, spreading its wings above him (Fig. 6).17

While the Ganymede trapezophoros preserves its plinth, we do not know the shape and height of the base that originally supported the Corinth work, nor can we be certain how much farther the pillar extended above the figures’ heads. The top of the support, with its capital, had to clear Hermes’ raised right arm, held free of the head. It may have risen as much as another fifteen to twenty centimeters. If one restores Hermes’ height at around ninety centimeters, then the complete work, without base, could have measured one meter and ten to twenty centimeters in height.18 These dimensions conform to those of the well-preserved Pan support in Athens (NM 251), with its well-preserved capital and base, carved in one piece with the figure and pillar (Fig. 5). Pan in this support measures 0.91 m, and the total height of the support is 1.12 m. For the Hermes and Dionysos support at Corinth we can tentatively restore a capital and a base to the pillar, all carved from one piece of marble. The well-preserved top of the fragmentary possible Herakles (Fig. 4), mentioned above, consists of an extension of the pillar, finished in front with a simple cavetto molding. Perhaps the Hermes and Dionysos support had a similar crowning element (Fig. 7).

What this figural pillar may have supported is uncertain. A marble table leaf is the most likely burden, but another class of figured supports, for balustrades, should be mentioned briefly here. Herms with male and female heads adorned the stage front of the Odeion of Agrippa in its first
phase. 19 The herm shafts, 0.92 m high, measure 0.19 x 0.16 m. A Roman example recovered from Omega House in Athens, on the north slope of the Areopagus, takes the form of a bearded Silenos or Dionysos (?) herm wearing a full-length, long-sleeved garment. 20 Camp has reconstructed the room, which contains a horseshoe-shaped swimming pool, with its west wall extending up ca. 1.50 m, surmounted by a balustrade. People on the same story as the balustrade could have looked down into the pool below. The figure, ca. 1.09 m high with its tapered shaft, supported the railing, which kept viewers from falling into the pool. He inclines his head, as if also peering down into the pool. It is just possible that the fragmentary Herakles pillar and fragmentary satyr support mentioned above, together with the Hermes and Dionysos group discussed here, supported a railing or balustrade. In any case, there are no surviving parallels for such an installation.

If it adorned a trapezophoros, the Hermes and Dionysos composition is unusual in that respect. Cohon, Moss, and Stephanidou-Tiberiou provide no comparanda in the surviving assemblage of Roman trapezophora for the theme of Hermes holding the infant wine god. In fact, Hermes rarely adorned table supports, and Dionysos only infrequently appeared on them depicted as a child, although the adult god occurred in various poses, alone or accompanied by members of his thiasos. 21 There are numerous examples with the drunken adult god supported by an adolescent satyr. 22 The composition at Corinth appears to be rare in the corpus of furniture supports and among sculpted images of Hermes and the infant Dionysos. While the motif of Hermes Kourotrophos is familiar in Roman sculpture, parallels for the Corinth work, with Hermes in a torsional pose looking up at the baby seated on his shoulder, are rare, as a survey of this theme in vase painting and sculpture reveals. 23 In addition, sculptural comparanda for the baby’s pose, with both legs resting against Hermes’ chest, are scarce. 24

Relatively few Classical images of Hermes carrying Dionysos survive. While there are no depictions of Hermes bearing Dionysos on the reverses of Classical coins, the adult god appears with Arkas, eponymous hero of Arcadia, on reverses of silver tetradrachms minted by the city of Pheneus, in northeastern Arcadia. These tetradrachms, minted between 370 and 300 B.C., show Hermes carrying Arkas, who is identified by inscription. The child perches on Hermes’ outstretched left forearm. Hermes grasps a caduceus in his right hand as he runs to his right, looking back at the child, who raises his right hand to the god’s head. 25

21. For trapezophora with Dionysos and a young satyr see Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, pp. 86–96. A Flavian trapezophoros from Pompeii takes the form of a “Lyssiphan” Silenos holding a baby. The silen’s body grows out of acanthus leaves, and the support itself terminates in a feline foot: Vermeule 1981, fig. 11.
24. For the motif on a late 5th–early 4th-century B.C. Attic hydria, see CVA, Berkeley 1 [USA 18], pp. 48–49, pls. xlvi–xlix.
In ancient sculpture, at least two Classical traditions of Hermes Dionysophoros can be identified:

1. Narratives in reliefs illustrating the birth of Dionysos from Zeus’ thigh, or Hermes delivering the newborn to the Nymphs. Hermes, often wearing tunic and chlamys, in an active pose, moves toward the Nymphs. One of the earliest surviving sculptured images of Hermes Dionysophoros appears on a late-4th-century B.C. votive relief in Athens. The relief’s cave border frames a large cast of divinities, with Hermes, dressed for travel, in the center. He steps up on a rock, passing the bundled infant to a waiting Nymph.

2. Large, three-dimensional sculptures of Hermes holding the baby Dionysos. No Classical examples of this kind survive, but numerous Roman works, discussed below, are thought to reflect earlier traditions. Greek kourotopoi in the round appear to have become popular by the 4th century B.C. The Elder Kephisodotou’s statue of Eirene and Ploutos had been set up in the Athenian Agora probably by 369 B.C. One of the earliest large, three-dimensional images of Hermes and Dionysos may have been the bronze also by Kephisodotou, possibly the father of Praxiteles. This lost work suggests to some critics that there may have been family interest in the theme.

Pausanias (5.17.7) is the only ancient authority who mentions the Hermes and Dionysos group at Olympia. He attributed it to Praxiteles, the famous 4th-century Athenian sculptor, but the evidence for this association continues to be disputed. Basically frontal, Hermes extends his body laterally in space. Resting his weight on the right leg, he leans to his

26. The decoration of the lost Throne of Apollo at Amyclae (ca. mid-6th century B.C.) by the Ionian sculptor Bathyklles may have included one of the earliest sculptured representations of Hermes holding the baby in an isolated vignette (Faustoferri 1991). According to Pausanias (3.18.11–12), the mythological scenes on the Throne included Ino and Semele with baby Dionysos, and Hermes carrying the infant to Olympos, presumably after Semele’s death, to be gestated in Zeus’ thigh. But see Pipili 1991. A fragmentary plaster cast in Munich, said to have been taken from the neck of a metal rhyton tentatively dated ca. 5th/early 4th century B.C., may reflect the earliest surviving sculptured evidence for the delivery theme, albeit in miniature relief (Froning 1981, p. 54, pl. 6; Richter 1960).

27. Günther 1994, pp. 113–114; Edwards 1985, pp. 419–437, no. 14. Dedicated by Neoptolemos from the Attic deme Melite, the relief was found reused in Omega House on the south slope of the Areopagus.

28. Pliny, NH 34.87.

29. A Roman type has been connected with the Hermes by Kephisodotou I (Rizzo 1932, pp. 7–10; Blanco 1957, pp. 40–41, no. 39E) but see Agora XI, pp. 163–165. For a similar image on Roman coins of Pautalia in Thrace (Coracalla) and Anchialos on the Danube, see Head [1877] 1979, pp. 232, 235. Pausanias (3.11.11) reports on a Hermes Agoraios Dionysophoros at Sparta, but does not provide description, attribution, or date.

left, holding the baby on his left arm, with the help of a tree trunk support. While this work has established—for modern critics—the standard sculptured image of Hermes and Dionysos, its source and date are problematic, and in fact there are few Roman copies.31

Generally in Roman marble sculpture, a frontal Hermes looks down at the baby he supports, usually on the left arm, although sometimes the pose is reversed.32 The god is often partly draped in a chlamys, as in the much restored example in the Boboli Gardens, where he holds the baby away from his body on his own right side.33 There are at least two large torsos, one from Pergamon, the other now in the Bardo Museum (Tunis), where the child was held in front, close to the chest.34 A Hermes from the Hadrianic Baths at Leptis Magna is a variant of the Jason/Sandalbinder type. He rests his right leg on top of a large turtle. The infant, of which only fragments survive, sat on the upraised knee.35 In another unusual Roman composition, a seated Hermes holds the baby in his lap.36

The composition at Corinth does not resemble canonical large-scale frontal Roman sculptures of the pair. Closer parallels for this sculpture exist in the more torsional groups in the round with young satyrs carrying infants. One of the earliest examples, a terracotta figurine (2nd–1st centuries B.C.) from the necropolis at Myrina, stands in a torsional pose that might be a dance step (Fig. 8).37 Turning his head to his right, he looks up at the child sitting on his right arm.

There are also convincing parallels in large-scale, three-dimensional marble. Two examples in different scales have been recovered from a sculptor’s workshop at Aphrodisias. A third, related, piece, signed by the sculptor Flavius Zeno, was one of several sculptures discovered together on the Palatine in Rome.38 In all three, the satyr supports the baby, who sits astride his left shoulder, and steadies him by grasping the child’s left hand in his lap.

31. Several Late Hellenistic works corresponding to the Hermes in pose and musculature have been recovered, so it is possible that a stock body type was reworked for different compositions (Ridgway 1984, p. 85). There are a few works that might be associated with the group at Olympia: a fragmentary infant in Corfu (see E.A 1325) corresponds in pose and the arrangement of drapery to the Olympia Dionysos; the Roman torso of a satyr with traces of a child supported on his arm, in Athens (NM 4800), resembles the pose of the Hermes at Olympia. This satyr and baby group may in fact be important to our understanding of the famous piece since a Pompeian wall painting of a satyr holding Dionysos is the usual source for the restoration of the lost right arm and attribute of the Olympia Hermes (Schefold 1957, pp. 124–125).

32. A bronze figurine of Hermes in the Louvre has been linked with large marble versions (Waldstein 1882).


35. Ridgway 1997, p. 307; Bianchi Bandinelli 1966, pp. 99–100, fig. 155. For the Sandalbinder type see Moreno 1995; Ridgway 1990, pp. 81–82; Ridgway 1984, pp. 88, 94; Inan 1975, pp. 92–95, pl. 42:1–2; Ridgway 1964. If, as Ridgway has suggested, the type is actually a Late Hellenistic creation, predated by a variety of similar images in relief at least as early as the Parthenon frieze, then its adaptation for an image of Hermes Kourotrophos supports the view that types of the god in this role are late developments.


37. Louvre, Myr 185: Molland-Besques 1963, I, p. 80, pl. 96b. A tradition of satyr family life in Classical Greek vase painting precedes sculptured groups of satyrs and children. The earliest example is the well known image of a satyr father supporting a baby on his shoulders (Flying Angel Painter’s krater in Baltimore, Walters Art Gallery 98.882: Beazley 1918, p. 59, no. 4, fig. 37). The piggyback motif also occurred in Imperial sculpture, for example in the alimenta panel, Arch of Trajan in Benevento, a.d. 114–118.


Figure 8. Paris, Louvre, Myr 185, Satyr and baby Dionysos, terracotta group. After Bieber 1955, fig. 570.
own; both hands are now broken away in the work from Aphrodisias illustrated here (Fig. 9). Poised on tiptoe, the satyr steps forward on his right foot. He holds a lagobolon in his right hand, resting it against his right shoulder.39

R. R. R. Smith has recently reevaluated the problematic dating of the workshop finds. Epigraphical evidence supports a date for Flavius Zeno within the second quarter of the 4th century A.C., although it is possible that some items in the workshop assemblage could be earlier, finished pieces reworked by fledgling sculptors.40 The Aphrodisias groups have been connected with a lost Hellenistic original of the 3rd to 2nd century B.C., but apart from these three examples, no other large-scale replicas of this type are known.41

Another vanished Hellenistic work is thought to have inspired at least five Roman copies of a different Satyr and Dionysos group, including a much restored version in the Villa Albani (Fig. 10).42 The child, a toddler, rides piggyback on the satyr’s shoulders. Dionysos leans over to his right and the satyr looks up toward him, grasping the child’s right ankle in his right hand. He raises his left hand toward the boy. The satyr rests his weight on the right side with the left foot drawn well back. These sculptures express the close, playful relationship between child and adult. But while both the Aphrodisias groups (cf. Fig. 9) and this type resemble the Corinth piece, they do not correspond to it in all respects, since the child at Corinth appears younger and does not straddle Hermes’ upper arm or shoulders.

These three-dimensional groups have counterparts in relief on Roman Dionysiac sarcophagi. Matz recognized at least six types, occurring first on sarcophagi dated to just before the mid-2nd century A.C.43 In all these scenes, the satyrs are members of crowded, complex thiasoi. Some types closely resemble the large sculptures in the round discussed here. The three-dimensional Villa Albani Satyr with Dionysos as a toddler (Fig. 10), for example, corresponds to Matz’s Kinderträger A (Type 75, Fig. 11). This piggyback group is the rarest of the relief types, occurring

39. While generally similar in pose and in their sinewy, muscular bodies and unkempt hair, some variations occur among these three sculptures, aside from differences in scale. In both works found at Aphrodisias the baby sits on a goatskin draped over the satyr’s left arm, but this pelt is lacking in the Copenhagen example. In the smaller of the two replicas at Aphrodisias the infant leans back; the other one sits straight up.
40. Smith 1998, pp. 258–259. Erim suggested that the unsigned groups found at Aphrodisias might have been sculpted by a follower of Zeno (Roueché and Erim 1982). On stylistic grounds, other works bearing this artist’s signature had been considered Hadrianic or Antonine (Erim 1974, p. 772). Erim, while favoring a 4th-century date for the artist, proposed an alternate dating for pieces bearing his signature. He suggested that they might be earlier sculptures reworked and supplied to a 4th-century clientele. According to Rockwell (1991, p. 130), both satyr groups found at the Aphrodisian workshop may have been finished pieces undergoing repairs, so they might have been in the workshop for a long time.
42. Villa Albani 148: Bieber 1955, p. 139; Helbig IV II, p. 247, no. 384. On the type see Kell 1988, pp. 40–43; Schneider 1990, pp. 288–298, no. 232, pls. 202–203. For a list of replicas see Gercke 1969; also Minto 1913. The best preserved replica is a statuette, the only figure preserving Hermes’ legs. The baby holds grapes in his raised right hand, his human ears confirming his identity as Dionysos rather than a baby satyr (Andren 1964, pl. 25). For other baby/satyr groups see Paribeni 1959, pp. 118–119, no. 335, pl. 156; also the fragmentary satyr and baby sculpture in Baltimore: Reeder 1988, p. 27.
Figure 9 (left). Aphrodisias, large Satyr and Dionysos group. Courtesy New York University Excavations at Aphrodisias

Figure 10 (right). Rome, Villa Albani 148, Satyr and Dionysos group. After Bieber 1955, fig. 569
just a few times. Matz sees the terracotta dancing Satyr and Baby in the Louvre (Fig. 8), along with a small group of Roman wall paintings and the Villa Albani satyr type, as ancestors of this motif in Dionysiac funerary art. The Aphrodisias groups (cf. Fig. 9) resemble Matz’s Kinderträger C (Type 77, Fig. 11). Some seven instances of this motif survive on sarcophagi, some with the pose reversed. None of the sarcophagus reliefs is dated earlier than the early Severan period, but they may still be considerably earlier than the Aphrodisias statues. The Corinth sculpture, with few comparanda in the round, is close to Kinderträger B (Type 76, Fig. 11), with pose reversed, because of the baby’s frontal position, with both legs over the satyr’s chest. The earliest occurrence of the relief image is on an early Antonine sarcophagus in Florence. Most of the other examples date from later in the 2nd century, possible contemporaries of the Corinth sculpture.

Matz’ analysis of satyr types on Roman sarcophagi reveals that poses remain the same, even when other burdens are substituted for babies—thyrsoi, askoi, amphoras, sacrificial animals. He proposes that in all the cases considered here, the small relief versions on sarcophagi were inspired by earlier sculptures in the round. Some important changes in meaning do occur, however, in the shift from three to two dimensions, if in fact this is the direction of influence. In the round, satyrs carry the baby Dionysos, depicted as a human child. In relief, they carry infants of their own race, distinguished by pointed ears, and sometimes tails. The identity of both personages in the Corinth group varies from those in the relief versions. A repertoire of poses and personas apparently was manipulated to suit various genres and themes in Roman sculpture.

As we have seen, the Corinthian group of Hermes and Dionysos is unusually compressed, with the baby very close to Hermes and raised above him. This arrangement may have been important for the legibility of the composition, as well as for its stability. The substantial pier behind Hermes supports his neck and the child resting against him. This pose, suggesting an intimate physical and emotional relation between the two figures, found more commonly in satyr/baby groups, here may also serve a structural purpose.

From the Classical period on, the image of Hermes carrying Dionysos represented one stage of a venerable sacred narrative sequence that docu-
mented the wine god’s premature delivery from the womb of dying Semele, his rebirth from Zeus’ thigh, his subsequent foster homes and parents. It is likely that whatever its original setting, the Corinth sculpture expressed to its ancient creator and viewers a precise message appropriate in a specific context at the ancient city. Several other Roman sculptures of Hermes have been recovered from the site; various types and poses can be identified. While no other sculptured images of Hermes Kourotophos have been recognized at Corinth, Trajanic bronze reverse types of Hermes with Dionysos were minted for the city. Running to his left, Hermes supports a baby on his outstretched left arm, which he holds so far away from his body that the infant does not touch his chest. The more usual relationship of adult to infant appears on Corinthian reverses, with Hermes’ head higher than that of his charge. The adult wears petasos and chlamys and holds a caduceus in his right hand.

As Sturgeon and Fuchs have shown, several Roman sculptures of Hermes Dionysophoros, in relief and in the round, come from theaters, an appropriate setting for such images. From the Theater of Dionysos in Athens, four surviving Hadrianic or early Antonine panels, which may originally have decorated the scænae frons, include one with a frontal, chlamys-draped Hermes holding Dionysos on his left side. This pair and a seated Zeus are flanked by nude males carrying shields and identified by modern critics as the Korybantes. Hermes in this Athens relief resembles Roman three-dimensional sculptures of the god and not the more heavily draped, active figure of earlier narrative reliefs. Sturgeon connects this imagery with scenes on Dionysiac sarcophagi, altars, and other reliefs, suggesting that these scenes might have influenced the theater program. This theme apparently was more popular in the decoration of eastern theaters; at least four stage fronts, at Perge (ca. a.d. 120), Side, Sabratha, and Hierapolis, included birth of Dionysos cycles with images of Hermes and baby Dionysos. At Corinth’s theater, this motif does not appear. Hermes may have been a participant in the Gigantomachy frieze adorning the scænae frons, but he does not survive as a kourotophos.

Sculptures of Hermes and Dionysos also adorned Roman baths, occasionally the frigidarium. We have already considered the unusual Jason/Athens frieze. Hermes Dionysophoros at Perge (Fuchs 1987, p. 133; Bernardi Ferrero 1966–1974, III, p. 152); at Side (Bernardi Ferrero 1966–1974, III, p. 141; Fuchs 1987, p. 133); at Sabratha (Fuchs 1987, p. 133; Caputo 1959, pl. 38, fig. 66); at Hierapolis (Fuchs 1987, p. 133; Bernardi Ferrero 1966–1974, I, p. 59, fig. 97). 55. *Corinth* IX, ii, pp. 14, 22, nos. G 7-1, G 7-2. The torso of a large, three-dimensional statue of Hermes with the remains of a caduceus adhering to his left arm was recovered near the theater. The fragmentary purse mentioned by Sturgeon (*Corinth* IX, ii, p. 22, no. G 7-1) might belong with this figure.

50. Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner 1964, p. 21, pl. E.LXXVIII.
51. Sturgeon 1977. On Hermes Dionysophoros in theaters: Fuchs 1987, pp. 37, 133, 191. These works include a small-scale (H. 0.975 m) sculpture in the round from Minturno (Naples, Fuchs 1987, p. 37, no. El 1). From the Theater of Dionysos in Athens see the large Silenos in hairy suit carrying Dionysos, who holds a mask (NM 257, Karouzou 1968, p. 98; *LMC* III, 1986, p. 480, no. 687, s.v. *Dionysos* [C. Gasparri]). The toddler Dionysos wears long-sleeved costume and leggings, tunic, mantle, and boots. “Silenos” could be an actor in costume. Gasparri connects this Roman work with Pliny’s reference (*NH* 36.29) to a statue in the Porticus Octaviae. For a similar group of Hairy Silenos and Dionysos, see Marcadé 1970, p. 189, no. A4413, pl. 23. 52. Sturgeon 1977, pp. 34–35.
53. Sturgeon 1977, p. 36. The other panels reused in the so-called base of Phaedrus present subsequent phases of Dionysos’ career, and Sturgeon (1977, pp. 51–52) proposed that other pieces, now missing, included other episodes from his childhood.
54. In all these scenes, Hermes is much more active than he is in the...
Sandalbinder type from the bath at Leptis Magna. Possibly these sculptures in public baths alluded to a subsequent episode in Dionysos’ childhood, when he is bathed by the Nymphs, the sculptures evoking this mythic lustral episode in an actual bath complex. There are several Roman baths at ancient Corinth. A probable site for our sculpture may be the area tentatively identified as a bath in its Roman phase, close to the Frankish complex in which the work was found. This bath lies on the western side of the excavated Frankish remains south of Temple E, some fifty meters southwest of where the sculpture was discovered. Preliminary investigations of this area reveal an installation whose first phase was possibly Augustan; it apparently survived into the 5th or 6th century A.D. A complete 11th- or 12th-century rebuilding disturbed most of the earlier walls. A fragment of sculpture, possibly a nonjoining portion from one of Hermes’ limbs, was found in this area. This might be a section from an upper arm or thigh and it has a preserved length of 8 cm and a diameter of 9 cm.

It is important to keep in mind that our sculpture probably adorned a table support, with Attic parallels in terms of pillar and figure size, even though there are few surviving thematic comparanda. Evidence for trapezophora from Delos, Pompeii, and other sites, in addition to literary testimonia, demonstrates that Roman stone tables with figural supports served a variety of functions, secular and religious. In temples, shrines, and household lararia, such tables were used as altars, offering tables, and supports for portable altars, patera, or lamps. In domestic contexts they served as stands for statues of lares. Examples from Pompeii and Herculanum are thought to have displayed bronze figurines in the atria or peristyles of villas. While monopodia, tables with a single central support, were not used for dining, wall paintings depict them laden with glassware and vessels and other banquetting supplies.

The western end of the Roman Forum at Corinth, in the general area where this sculpture was found, is dominated by sacred buildings and precincts. The Hermes and Dionysos support could have been part of the sacred furniture in the precinct of Temple E, over which the Byzantine-Frankish complex had been built. Much sculpture has been recovered from this area, including at least one Roman table support. Or, the piece might belong to the unusual building with central hemicycle. This building, which terminates the west end of the Central Shops, was originally identified as a Temple of Dionysos. In his revised interpretation of Pausanias’ route through the Roman Forum, Williams has identified this complex as the

---

56. Also from baths, primarily in North Africa: Manderscheid 1982, no. 116H (Agnano, statuette, Trajanic/Hadrianic); no. 272 (Shahat, Hadrianic/Early Antonine); no. 304 (Leptis Magna, Hadrianic). At Corinth, the fragmentary head of a large Polykleitan Hermes was found in Room 1, south pool of the Lechaion Road Bath (Corinth XVII, p. 41; Sturgeon 1975, pp. 290–292, no. 2). In addition, Biers (in Corinth XVII, p. 48) reports fragments of a ram, possibly an attribute from a statue of Hermes, from Rooms 3 and 5.

57. For bathing scenes on Dionysiac sarcophagi see Matz 1968.

58. The building is securely identified as a bath in the Byzantine period; much less can be said of the earlier remains, although segments of flooring built of waterproof herringbone tiles suggest the presence of an early bath here, according to C. K. Williams II (pers. comm.); and see Williams and Zervos 1995, p. 11; Williams, Barnes, and Snyder 1997, pp. 37–40.


64. For trapezophora found at Corinth, see Appendix, below.
Hermes sanctuary mentioned by the traveler.65 The foundations, probably from an altar, ca. 2 meters to the north and on axis with the entrance of this structure, support its identification as a temple. The central room, with its multicolored marble flooring, appears to have had several bases, or perhaps tables, along the edge of the interior.66

As we have seen, its secondary context, possibly a Frankish wall in Room C, one of a suite of rooms west of the church, has been connected with a destruction of ca. A.D. 1300. And as observed above, Hermes' body is noticeably more weathered on his right side than on the left. If the statue had been embedded in the exterior face of a wall (perhaps the pre-destruction north wall of Room C) with the right side projecting, such a placement would account for this uneven weathering. Just possibly, as spo-lia immured in the outer wall of a room associated with the funerary chapel of a Frankish religious complex, the statue had a new life not simply as building material, but as sculpture whose pagan iconography was reinterpreted with a Christian message.67 A secondary, Christian, function for the Hermes and Dionysos trapezophoros will be explored briefly here.

The statue's intimate juxtaposition of grown-up and child may have evoked, for Frankish Christians at Corinth, images from Christ's life depicted in contemporary church art. In the Byzantine world, by the 8th century, some episodes from the pagan cycle of Dionysos' birth became models for the childhood of Christ. The pose of the reclining Virgin Mary echoed that of recumbent, dying Semele,68 and the bathing of the Christ Child, at least once employed as a metaphor for his later baptism, drew on earlier scenes of Dionysos bathed by his nympha nurses.69 The question of how pagan sculpture was used, perceived, and interpreted by both Byzantine and Western Christians has received considerable recent attention. Whether pagan statues, inscriptions, or even architectural elements embedded in Christian churches had specific religious meanings for patrons, designers, and congregations continues to be debated.70 Mango has suggested that building blocks, as well as sculptures, may have been perceived as having apotropaic power, and served specific functions when built into a church façade. Saradi-Mendelovici has recently examined the earlier ambivalent Byzantine view of Classical and Roman sculpture, in which this sculpture was perceived both to be a haven for demons and to have artistic worth.71

Hermes Dionysophoros in the Dionysos birth cycle may have inspired the later figure of St. Christopher, who unwittingly carried the infant Christ across a river. The 8th-century Passion of Christopher places him in Syria; his martyrdom may have occurred ca. A.D. 250.72 A mid-5th-century Greek dedicatory inscription from a church in Bithynia provides the earliest evidence for the saint's cult.73 Jacobus de Voragine, in The Golden Legend, recounts the best-known features of his story.74 A giant first named Reprobus, he felt his destiny was to serve the greatest king on earth, whom he eventually determined to be Christ. Christopher carried people across a river at a point where there was no bridge. One of his burdens was Christ, disguised as a mortal child.

In the West, St. Christopher, one of the Fourteen Holy Helpers, was a medieval patron of travelers; anyone who glimpsed his image would be safe from death that day.75 Large murals of the saint bearing the baby Christ commonly decorated the north walls of English and northern
European churches, opposite the entrance, and could be viewed from outside by passersby. These wall paintings first appear in the 13th century, although the earliest images of St. Christopher carrying the infant Christ date to the beginning of the 12th. Medieval and later images of St. Christopher with the infant Christ often echo the intimate pose of Hermes and Dionysos at Corinth, where the adult, supporting the baby on his left side, looks up at him, their heads close together (Fig. 12). Just possibly, the medieval discoverers of the trapezophoros saw a connection between the pagan group and the Christian travelers’ saint. They may have considered their complex at Corinth, possibly a pilgrims’ refuge, an appropriate setting for this unusual Roman sculpture. For its 13th-century Frankish viewers, the pagan composition of Hermes holding baby Dionysos at ancient Corinth may have resonated with meaning that gave it a second life in the Christian world.

77. Benker 1975, pp. 46–47.
The cynocephalic Christopher of the Eastern church appears, infrequently, as kourotrophos, beginning in the 14th century (Loeschcke 1965, pp. 54–55, pl. 85:3).
78. On Greek monasteries as pilgrims’ shelters see Ciggaar 1996, chapter 1.

APPENDIX
ROMAN TRAPEZOPHORA AT ANCIENT CORINTH

Excavations at Corinth have produced many Roman trapezophora. They are listed below by theme, with their provenience, reference to Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, and Corinth Excavation notebook (NB) entry, when available.

**Aphrodite**
- S-3745 Lechaion Road; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 164

**Attis**
- S-1962 North of School; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, p. 58, note 65

**Dionysos**
- S-731
- S-1462 Asklepieion; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, p. 157
- S-1527 Temple E (NB 127, pp. 96–97)
- S-1600 Agora Southeast (NB 135, p. 148); Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 39
- S-2470 Agora North Central (NB 171, p. 69); Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 11
- S-2532 St. John’s (NB 169, p. 200)
- S-71-32 Gymnasium (NB 536, p. 92); Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 40

**Eros**
- S-2830 Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 102

**Ganymede**
- S-56 Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 127
- S-2729 Near Peirene (NB 8, p. 4); Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 124
- S-2903

**Herakles**
- S-2329 St. John’s (NB 169, p. 360); Figure 4

**Hermes and Dionysos**
- S-1993-2 (NB 863, pp. 18, 24); Figure 1

**Satyr**
- S-437 Northwest Shops (?) (NB 15, p. 51); Figure 3; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 71
- S-2742

**Satyr and Dionysos**
- S-762 Anaploga; Stephanidou-Tiberiou 1993, no. 69
- T-108 Theater
- (bases)
- S-460
- S-1029
- S-1376
- S-1726 Agora Southeast (NB 142, p. 18)
- S-75-6 Forum Southwest
- T-917
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